White House Conference on Aging Post-Event Summary Report

Name of Event: Ethics and Aging in Long-Term Care

Date of Event: May 19, 2005

Location of Event: The Jewish Home & Hospital Lifecare System

Sarah Neuman Center for Healthcare and Rehabilitation/Westchester Division

Number of Persons Attending: 200

Sponsoring Organizations: The Jewish Home & Hospital Lifecare System

Priority Issue #1:

- ♦ <u>Issue(s)</u>: Legal regulation of nursing facilities may exert both positive and negative effects on the quality of care provided to nursing home residents. Public policy makers should establish a process for carefully evaluating these effects and devising strategies to maximize the law's positive, therapeutic impact on resident care.
- Barrier(s): Current public policy
- <u>Proposed Solution(s)</u>: These strategies may include:
 - Professional education about real versus perceived legal risks and how they can be put into reasonable perspective by nursing facility providers
 - Encouraging nursing facility providers to make better use of legal and risk management advice
 - Enabling better communication among service providers
 - Facilitating better communication and negotiation between nursing facility providers, on one hand, and consumers and their families, on the other
 - Identification of reasonable treatment alternatives, guided by the Least Intrusive/Least Restrictive Alternative principle
 - · Consultation and collaboration with Ethics Committees and other nonjudicial means of resolving actual and potential problems
 - · Promoting forms of documenting resident care that contribute to the quality of that care
 - · Identifying and publicizing Best Practices
 - · Developing and disseminating practice guidelines
 - · Helping nursing facilities to develop and implement effective organizational policies and procedures
 - · Considering and adopting legal reforms aimed at enhancing the law's therapeutic impact of its intended beneficiaries

Priority Issue #2:

- ◆ <u>Issue(s)</u>: Informed Consent to Treatment (and Tx refusal)
- ♦ Barrier(s):
 - Lack of understanding about decision making levels of risk

1

- Lack of a policy and guidelines for determination of decision-making capacity
- · Miscommunication
- Failure to recognize and work with family/cultural decision-making pattern/style
- Surrogate decision making is poorly effectuated or representative of patient's interests

Proposed Solution(s):

- Re-examine the Informed Consent model as a useful guidelines for this purpose.
- · Use a benefit-burden discussion model
- Learn about other models of decision-making
- · Cultural sensitivity education
- · Examine the research!
- Surrogate decision-maker training/education

Priority Issue #3:

- ◆ <u>Issue(s)</u>: Culture Change: the link between empowerment (a key tenet of culture change and principle of autonomy) and improved clinical outcomes has not been scientifically demonstrated
- ♦ Barrier(s):
 - Failure to explore values and preferences with regard to quality of life
 - Absence of a coherent model of quality of life
 - Lack of a theoretical model of causation or association with inputs and desired outcomes
 - Insufficient clinical expertise regarding care of the older adult
 - Inadequate discussion of risk acceptance and meaning for resident, family, facility
 - Failure to explore and understand nature of dependency and caregiving

Proposed Solution(s):

- Develop coherent, relevant job descriptions.
- Decide in advance how culture change will be known when it is achieved.
- · Measurable goals; replicable methods
- · Identify/describe accountability and methods by which it will exercised
- Measure if residents are making meaningful (for them!) decisions

Priority Issue #4:

- Issue(s): Communicating/Informing: quintessential ethical engagement
- ♦ Barrier(s):
 - Unawareness of information needs how information is to be transmitted
 - Failure to determine what patient/family understands
 - Insensitivity to reluctance to ask questions (loss of face, etc.)
 - Not knowing how to deliver bad news

Proposed Solution(s):

- Determine in advance who the stakeholders are in the communication: who (ie, what are the role requirements?), what will be communicated (level of detail), when (timing, frequency), how/by what means, where
- Determine understanding by asking "Tell me in your own words what I just said" rather than by asking "Any questions?"
- Learn in advance what patient/family wants to know
- · Have all the medical facts available

Priority Issue #5:

- ◆ Issue(s): Placebo Medication/Treatment
- ♦ Barrier(s):
 - Unwillingness/resistance to recognition of placebo effect
 - Ethical principle that "forbids" deception
 - Loss of faith in provider if deception discovered
 - Principle of truth telling is violated; harm is caused
 - Competing ethical theories: consequentialism vs. deontology or, consequences vs. rules
 - Research studies inconclusive but appear to find a placebo effect
- ◆ <u>Proposed Solution(s)</u>:
 - Consider validity of justice principle (ie, resources) as rationale for placebo administration
 - Appropriate language can maintain the trusting relationship while still permitting placebo administration: This pill *may* help your pain vs. This pill *will* help your pain
 - Continue research, particularly with regard to expectancy theory